

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,186	03/26/2004	Rajendra Tushar Moorti	15624US02	8020
23446 7590 02/24/2009 MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD			EXAMINER	
500 WEST MADISON STREET			YUN, EUGENE	
	SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL 60661		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/810,186 MOORTI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit EUGENE YUN 2618 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 February 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims

Disposition of Glaims
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)⊠ Claim(s) <i>1-36</i> is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SS/Ice) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:
.s. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Action Summary Part of Paper No./Mail Date 2009/0217

Application/Control Number: 10/810,186 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last
 Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 2, 10-14, 22-26 and 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonta et al. (US 5,740,526) in view of Anderson (US 7,324,783) and Greer et al. (US 7,253,779).

Referring to Claim 1, Bonta teaches a method for choosing at least one signal path, the method comprising:

Determining a signal quality metric for each of a plurality of signal paths (see col.

2, lines 53-57); and

Selecting at least one of said plurality of signal paths for receiving a signal, wherein said selecting is based on the at least one of the signal quality metric (see col. 2. line 62 to col. 3. line 4).

Bonta does not teach modifying the determined signal quality metric for a signal path. Anderson teaches modifying the determined signal quality metric for a signal path

Art Unit: 2618

(see col. 7, lines 29-46 noting that this process can be applied to all antenna elements of Bonta). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Anderson to said device of Bonta in order to provide a more accurate method of measuring signal quality in order to ensure the best quality signal.

The combination of Bonta and Anderson does not teach selecting at least one of said plurality of signal paths for receiving a signal, wherein said selecting is based on at least one of the modified signal quality metrics. Greer teaches selecting at least one of said plurality of signal paths for receiving a signal, wherein said selecting is based on at least one of the modified signal quality metrics (see col. 12, line 59 to col. 13, line 10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Greer to the modified device of Bonta and Anderson in order to improve performance when multi antennas are used.

Claim 13 has similar limitations as claim 1.

Referring to Claim 25, Bonta teaches a system for choosing at least one signal path, the system comprising:

At least one processor that determines a signal quality metric for each of a plurality of signal paths (see col. 2, lines 53-57); and

The at least one processor enables selecting of at least one of said plurality of signal paths for receiving a signal, wherein said selecting is based on the at least one of the modified signal quality metrics (see col. 2, line 62 to col. 3, line 4).

Art Unit: 2618

Bonta does not teach modifying the determined signal quality metric for a signal path. Anderson teaches modifying the determined signal quality metric for a signal path (see col. 7, lines 29-46 noting that this process can be applied to all antenna elements of Bonta). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Anderson to said device of Bonta in order to provide a more accurate method of measuring signal quality in order to ensure the best quality signal.

The combination of Bonta and Anderson does not teach selecting at least one of said plurality of signal paths for receiving a signal, wherein said selecting is based on at least one of the modified signal quality metrics. Greer teaches selecting at least one of said plurality of signal paths for receiving a signal, wherein said selecting is based on at least one of the modified signal quality metrics (see col. 12, line 59 to col. 13, line 10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Greer to the modified device of Bonta and Anderson in order to improve performance when multi antennas are used.

Referring to Claims 2, 14, and 26, Bonta also teaches cycling through at least one of the signal paths (see col. 3, lines 28-35 noting the cycling through antennas 101-106).

Referring to Claims 10, 22, and 34, Bonta also teaches one or more of a power level characteristic, a packet error rate characteristic, a bit error rate characteristic, a propagation channel characteristic, and/or an interference level characteristic (see col. 4. lines 5-9).

Art Unit: 2618

Referring to Claims 11, 23, and 35, Bonta also teaches at least one of the plurality of signal paths comprising an antenna (see antennas in 101-106 in fig. 1).

Referring to Claims 12, 24, and 36, Anderson also teaches a receive signal path and a transmit signal path (see two way path 620 in fig. 6).

 Claims 3-9, 15-21, and 27-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonta, Anderson, and Greer, and further in view of Hiben et al. (US 5,465,410).

Referring to Claims 3, 15, and 27, the combination of Bonta, Anderson, and Greer, does not teach biasing the signal quality metric for each of the signal paths. Hiben also teaches biasing the signal quality metric for each of the signal paths (see col. 3, lines 62-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Hiben to the modified device of Bonta, Anderson, and Greer in order to provide a more efficient method of selecting the best quality signal.

Referring to Claims 4, 16, and 28, Hiben also teaches increasing the signal quality metric for each of the plurality of signal paths by a fixed amount (see col. 3, lines 62-67).

Referring to Claims 5, 17, and 29, Hiben also teaches increasing the signal quality metric for each of the plurality of signal paths by a predetermined amount (see col. 3. lines 62-67).

Art Unit: 2618

Referring to Claims 6, 18, and 30, Hiben also teaches dynamically changing the signal quality metric for each of the plurality of signal paths (see col. 2, lines 42-47).

Referring to Claims 7, 19, and 31, Hiben also teaches decreasing the signal quality metric for each of the plurality of signal paths by at least one of a fixed amount and a predetermined amount (see col. 3, lines 62-67).

Referring to Claims 8, 20, and 32, Hiben also teaches selecting a signal path with a signal quality metric greater than at least one modified signal quality metric (see col. 4, lines 3-8).

Referring to Claims 9, 21, and 33, Hiben also teaches selecting a signal path with a signal quality metric less than at least one modified signal quality metric (see col. 4, lines 3-8).

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-36 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EUGENE YUN whose telephone number is (571)272-7860. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-6:00pm.

Art Unit: 2618

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duc Nguyen can be reached on (571)272-7503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eugene Yun Examiner Art Unit 2618

/Eugene Yun/ Examiner, Art Unit 2618 /E. Y./ Examiner, Art Unit 2618